

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

This Environmental Impact Statement provides a summary of the actual and potential impacts of the proposed mining activities on the environment, <u>taking into account</u> the implementation of management and mitigation measures. It specifically addresses the nature, duration, likelihood, and significance of these impacts.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT						
Stripping and Stockpiling of Topsoil and/or Overburden		LIKELIHOOD	SIGNIFICANCE			
₹ Visual intrusion because of the mining activities.	Duration of operational phase	Possible	Low-Medium Concern			
₹ Dust nuisance due to the movement of the soil.	operational phase	Low Possibility	Low Concern			
প্ত Noise nuisance caused by earthmoving machinery.		Low Possibility	Low Concern			
ি Potential contamination of footprint area and surface runoff because of hydrocarbon		Possible	Low Concern			
spillages. '문 Loss of vegetation cover. '문 Potential impact on faunal species. '문 Infestation of the topsoil heaps and mining area with invader plant species.		Definite Low Possibility Low Possibility	Low-Medium Concern Low Concern Low Concern			
ଂ Potential increase in runoff from denuded areas and associated erosion.		Low Possibility	Low Concern			
Drilling and Blasting		LIKELIHOOD	<u>SIGNIFICANCE</u>			
te Alteration of the existing topography.te Health and safety risk posed by blasting activities.	Duration of operational phase	Definite Low Possibility	Low-Medium Concern Low Concern			
ଅ Dust nuisance caused by blasting activities. Pe Noise nuisance because of blasting.		Possible Possible	Low Concern Low Concern			
Excavation, Processing, Loading and Hauling of Aggregate		<u>LIKELIHOOD</u>	SIGNIFICANCE			
te Alteration of the existing topography.te Dust nuisance caused by earthmoving machinery.	Duration of operational phase	Definite Low Possibility	Low-Medium Concern Low Concern			
♥ Noise nuisance because of the mining activities.		Low Possibility	Low Concern			
 Unsafe working environment for employees. Soil contamination from hydrocarbon spills and/or littering. 		Low Possibility Low Possibility	Low Concern Low Concern			



ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT						
ষ্ট Infestation of the mining area with invader		Low Possibility	Low Concern			
plant species.		Law Dagaibility	Law Canaann			
Properties Overloading of trucks having an impact on the public roads.		Low Possibility	Low Concern			
ৈ Continued employment opportunities (Positive Impact)		Definite	High (+)			
₹ Continued provision of building material to		Definite	Medium-High (+)			
the region (Positive Impact)						
₻ Continued social support to local community		Definite	High (+)			
(Positive Impact)						
Sloping and Landscaping During		LIKELIHOOD	<u>SIGNIFICANCE</u>			
Rehabilitation	Duration of					
ੀ Health and safety risk posed by		Low Possibility	Low Concern			
unrehabilitated quarry excavations.	phase (±6 months)	-				
ি Erosion of returned topsoil after		Low Possibility	Low Concern			
rehabilitation.		Low Possibility	Low Concern			
lnfestation of the reinstated areas by invader plant species.		LOW I OSSIDIIITY	LOW CONCERN			
ছ Potential impact associated with		Low Possibility	Low Concern			
litter/hydrocarbon spills left at the mining						
area.						

Methodology applied for the assessment of the potential environmental, social and cultural impacts

DEFINITIONS AND CONCEPTS:

Environmental significance:

The concept of significance is at the core of impact identification, evaluation and decision-making. The concept remains largely undefined and there is no international consensus on a single definition. The following common elements are recognised from the various interpretations:

- ₻ Environmental significance is a value judgement
- The degree of environmental significance depends on the nature of the impact
- The importance is rated in terms of both biophysical and socio-economic values
- Determining significance involves the amount of change to the environment perceived to be acceptable to affected communities.

Significance can be differentiated into impact magnitude and impact significance. Impact magnitude is the measurable change (i.e. intensity, duration and likelihood). Impact



significance is the value placed on the change by different affected parties (i.e. level of acceptability) (DEAT (2002) Impact Significance, Integrated Environmental Management, Information Series 5).

The concept of risk has two dimensions, namely the consequence of an event or set of circumstances, and the likelihood of particular consequences being realised (Environment Australia (1999) Environmental Risk Management).

Impact

The positive or negative effects on human well-being and / or the environment.

Consequence

The intermediate or final outcome of an event or situation OR it is the result, on the environment, of an event.

Likelihood

A qualitative term covering both probability and frequency.

Frequency

The number of occurrences of a defined event in a given time or rate.

Probability

The likelihood of a specific outcome measured by the ratio of a specific outcome to the total number of possible outcomes.

Environment

Surroundings in which an organisation operates, including air, water, land, natural resources, flora, fauna, humans and their interrelation (ISO 14004, 1996).

Methodology that will be used

The environmental significance assessment methodology is based on the following determination:

Environmental Significance = Overall Consequence X Overall Likelihood

Determination of Overall Consequence

Consequence analysis is a mixture of quantitative and qualitative information, and the outcome can be positive or negative. Several factors can be used to determine consequence. For the purpose of determining the environmental significance in terms of consequence, the following factors were chosen: **Severity/Intensity, Duration and Extent/Spatial Scale**. Each factor is assigned a rating of 1 to 5, as described in the tables below.

Determination of Severity / Intensity

Severity relates to the nature of the event, aspect or impact to the environment and describes how severe the aspects impact on the biophysical and socio-economic environment.

The table below will be used to obtain an overall rating for severity, taking into consideration the various criteria.

Table 1: Table to be used to obtain an overall rating of severity, taking into consideration the various criteria.

TYPE OF CRITERIA	RATING					
	1	2	3	4	5	
Quantitative	0-20%	21-40%	41-60%	61-80%	81-100%	
Qualitative	Insignificant / Non-harmful	Small / Potentially harmful	Significant/ Harmful	Great/ Very harmful	Disastrous Extremely harmful	
Social/ Community response	Acceptable / I&AP satisfied	Slightly tolerable / Possible objections	Intolerable/ Sporadic complaints	Unacceptable / Widespread complaints	Totally unacceptable / Possible legal action	
Irreversibility	Very low cost to mitigate/ High potential to mitigate impacts to level of insignificance/ Easily reversible	Low cost to mitigate	Substantial cost to mitigate/ Potential to mitigate impacts/ Potential to reverse impact	High cost to mitigate	Prohibitive cost to mitigate/ Little or no mechanism to mitigate impact Irreversible	
Biophysical (Air quality, water quantity and quality, waste production, fauna and flora)	Insignificant change / deterioration or disturbance	Moderate change / deterioration or disturbance	Significant change / deterioration or disturbance	Very significant change / deterioration or disturbance	Disastrous change / deterioration or disturbance	

Determination of Duration

Duration refers to the amount of time that the environment will be affected by the event, risk or impact, if no intervention e.g. remedial action takes place.

Table 2: Criteria for the rating of duration

RATING	DESCRIPTION
1	Up to ONE MONTH
2	ONE MONTH to THREE MONTHS (QUARTER)
3	THREE MONTHS to ONE YEAR
4	ONE to TEN YEARS
5	Beyond TEN YEARS

Determination of Extent/Spatial Scale

Extent or spatial scale is the area affected by the event, aspect or impact.

Table 3: Criteria for the rating of extent / spatial scale.

RATING	DESCRIPTION
1	Immediate, fully contained area
2	Surrounding area
3	Within Business Unit area of responsibility
4	Within the farm/neighbouring farm area
5	Regional, National, International

Determination of Overall Consequence

Overall consequence is determined by adding the factors determined above and summarized below, and then dividing the sum by 3.

Table 4: Example of calculating overall consequence.

	•
CONSEQUENCE	RATING
Severity	Example 4
Duration	Example 2
Extent	Example 4
SUBTOTAL	10
TOTAL CONSEQUENCE:	3.3
(Subtotal divided by 3)	3.3

Determination of Likelihood:

The determination of likelihood is a combination of Frequency and Probability. Each factor is assigned a rating of 1 to 5, as described in the tables below.

Determination of Frequency

Frequency refers to how often the specific activity, related to the event, aspect, or impact, is undertaken.



Table 5: Criteria for the rating of frequency.

RATING	DESCRIPTION
1	Once a year or once/more during operation
2	Once/more in 6 Months
3	Once/more a Month
4	Once/more a Week
5	Daily

Determination of Probability

Probability refers to how often the activity or aspect has an impact on the environment.

Table 6: Criteria for the rating of probability.

RATING	DESCRIPTION
1	Almost never / almost impossible
2	Very seldom / highly unlikely
3	Infrequent / unlikely / seldom
4	Often / regularly / likely / possible
5	Daily / highly likely / definitely

Overall Likelihood

Overall likelihood is calculated by adding the factors determined above and summarised below, and then dividing the sum by 2.

Table 7: Example of calculating overall likelihood.

CONSEQUENCE	RATING
Frequency	Example 4
Probability	Example 2
SUBTOTAL	6
TOTAL LIKELIHOOD	3
(Subtotal divided by 2)	3

Determination of Overall Environmental Significance:

The multiplication of overall consequence with overall likelihood will provide the environmental significance, which is a number that will then fall into a range of **LOW**, **LOW-MEDIUM**, **MEDIUM**, **MEDIUM-HIGH** or **HIGH**, as shown in the table below.

Table 8: Determination of overall environmental significance.

SIGNIFICANCE OR RISK	LOW	LOW- MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM- HIGH	HIGH
Overall Consequence X Overall Likelihood	1 – 4.9	5 – 9.9	10 – 14.9	15 – 19.9	20 – 25



Qualitative description or magnitude of Environmental Significance

This description is qualitative and is an indication of the nature or magnitude of the Environmental Significance. It also guides the prioritisations and decision making process associated with this event, aspect or impact.

Table 9: Description of environmental significance and related action required.

SIGNIFICANCE	LOW	LOW- MEDIUM	MEDIUM	MEDIUM- HIGH	HIGH
Impact	Impact is of	Impact is of	Impact is	Impact is real	Impact is of
Magnitude	very low	low order and	real, and	and	the highest
	order and	therefore	potentially	substantial in	order possible.
	therefore	likely to have	substantial in	relation to	Unacceptable.
	likely to have	little real	relation to	other impacts.	Fatal flaw.
	very little real	effect.	other	Pose a risk to	
	effect.	Acceptable.	impacts. Can	the company.	
	Acceptable.		pose a risk to	Unacceptable	
			company		
Action Required	Maintain	Maintain	Implement	Improve	Implement
	current	current	monitoring.	management	significant
	management	management	Investigate	measures to	mitigation
	measures.	measures.	mitigation	reduce risk.	measures or
	Where	Implement	measures		implement
	possible	monitoring	and improve		alternatives.
	improve.	and evaluate	management		
		to determine	measures to		
		potential	reduce risk,		
		increase in	where		
		risk.	possible.		
		Where			
		possible			
		improve			

Based on the above, the significance rating scale has been determined as follows:

High

Of the highest order possible within the bounds of impacts which could occur. In the case of negative impacts, there would be no possible mitigation and / or remedial activity to offset the impact at the spatial or time scale for which it was predicted. In the case of positive impacts, there is no real alternative to achieving the benefit.

Medium-High

Impacts of a substantial order. In the case of negative impacts, mitigation and / or remedial activity would be feasible but difficult, expensive, time-consuming or some combination of these. In the case of positive impacts, other means of achieving this benefit would be feasible, but these would be more difficult, expensive, time-consuming or some combination of these.



Medium

Impact would be real but not substantial within the bounds of those, which could occur. In the case of negative impacts, mitigation and / or remedial activity would be both feasible and fairly easily possible, In case of positive impacts; other means of achieving these benefits would be about equal in time, cost and effort.

Low-Medium

Impact would be of a low order and with little real effect. In the case of negative impacts, mitigation and / or remedial activity would be either easily achieved of little would be required, or both. In case of positive impacts alternative means for achieving this benefit would likely be easier, cheaper, more effective, less time-consuming, or some combination of these.

Low

Impact would be negligible. In the case of negative impacts, almost no mitigation and or remedial activity would be needed, and any minor steps, which might be needed, would be easy, cheap and simple. In the case of positive impacts, alternative means would almost all likely be better, in one or a number of ways, than this means of achieving the benefit

Insignificant

There would be a no impact at all – not even a very low impact on the system or any of its parts.

-END-